COMMENTARY

Public Health Reviews

Open Access

CrossMark

How do sustainable diets fit into the climate agenda?

Lukasz Aleksandrowicz^{1,2}

Correspondence:

Lukasz.Aleksandrowicz@lshtm.ac.uk ¹London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), London, UK ²Leverhulme Centre for Integrative Research on Agriculture and Health (LCIRAH), London, UK

Abstract

Food production is a major driver of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission and other environmental footprints, and dietary risk factors are contributors to non-communicable diseases. A growing body of evidence has shown that changes in what and how much we eat can offer benefits for both the environment and health. However, several data gaps and complexities remain in this research area. A better understanding and increased uptake of sustainable diets will require further research, investment, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Keywords: Food consumption, Greenhouse gas emissions, Water and land use, Non-communicable diseases, Diet

Background

When the public thinks of major sources of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, agriculture does not seem to be at the forefront of their minds [1]. However, agriculture contributes about one quarter of all emissions, a magnitude comparable with other major sectors, including energy production (35% of global emissions), industry (21%), and transport (14%) [2]. Efforts to reduce GHG emissions require action across all sectors, and therefore, agriculture will have to implement its own mitigation solutions. Beyond GHG emissions, food production is also responsible for about 70% of global water use and takes up one third of potentially cultivatable land [3].

Main text

Mitigation of GHG emissions is possible in various areas of food production and consumption, and approaches are broadly classified as supply side (technical innovations producers can achieve) and the demand side (how much and which foods consumers choose to eat) efforts. Action will be needed in both spheres, though evidence suggests that opportunities may be larger on the consumer side [4].

This raises the question of what food choices consumers can make to limit GHG emissions. The literature has shown that different foods can have markedly varied levels of emissions, with ruminant meat generally showing the highest emissions per calorie, followed by other meats and dairy, and plant-based foods having the least emissions [5]. Studies from high-income countries, where average diets tend to be high in animal-based foods and overall calories, show that health and climate benefits can be achieved by replacing meat and dairy intake with plant-based foods [6]. Additional



© The Author(s). 2016 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

benefits from these shifts can also be realized in land and water use. Many of these benefits can be achieved by following national dietary guidelines.

However, studies also point to complexities in these relationships. Some foods that should be restricted in our diets may have relatively low emissions, such as sugar. Foods that have low GHG emissions may have relatively more detrimental effects on other environmental indicators such as water use [7]. The opportunities for win-win strategies in environment and health are also unclear in low-income countries, where data on environmental impacts of food production are scarce and where many individuals may need to consume more, rather than fewer, calories and increase their diversity of food intake.

Conclusion

Ultimately, more needs to be done to comprehensively evaluate the impacts of shifting to low GHG diets. Further work should focus on strengthening the many gaps in region- and item-specific GHG emissions of food production and value chains. A broader assessment of sustainability will also require measurement of dietary shifts against a wider set of environmental, health, economic, and socio-ethical indicators. These efforts will require sustained investment in this emerging research area and interdisciplinary collaboration.

However, despite these gaps, there is evidence that diets can play an important role in mitigation of GHG emissions. Climate and health benefits can be currently achieved in many regions by at least partial replacement of high intake of animalbased foods (particularly ruminant meat), with intake of plant-based foods (including an appropriate mix of pulses, cereals, fruit and vegetables).

Abbreviation

GHG: greenhouse gas

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Andy Haines and Rosemary Green for their helpful comments.

Funding

LA is funded by a studentship from the Leverhulme Centre for Integrative Research on Agriculture and Health (LCIRAH). The funder has no role in the design, interpretation, or writing of this manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Author's contributions

LA is the sole author of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Received: 23 August 2016 Accepted: 11 October 2016 Published online: 11 November 2016

References

- 1. Bailey R, Froggatt A, Wellesley L. Livestock—climate change's forgotten sector: global public opinion on meat and dairy consumption. London: Chatham House; 2014.
- Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, et al. Technical summary. In: Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, et al., editors. Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth

Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

- 3. Whitmee S, Haines A, Beyrer C, et al. Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation-Lancet Commission on planetary health. Lancet. 2015;386:1973–2028.
- 4. Smith P, Haberl H, Popp A, Erb K-H, Lauk C, Harpers R, et al. How much land-based greenhouse gas mitigation can be achieved without compromising food security andenvironmental goals? Glob Chang Biol. 2013;19:2285–302.
- 5. Tilman D, Clark M. Global diets link environmental sustainability and human health. Nature. 2014;515:518–22.
- Aleksandrowicz L, Green R, Joy EJM, Smith P, Haines A. The impacts of dietary change on greenhouse gas emissions, land use, water use, and health: a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 11(11):e0165797. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0165797.
- Meier T, Christen O. Environmental impacts of dietary recommendations and dietary styles: Germany as an example. Environ Sci Technol. 2013;47:877–88.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

